TowelLine LAB

Know what buyers value. Fast.

MapProve Competitive Analysis

Prepared for

[redacted]

Prepared by

MapProve | AI-assisted research & synthesis

March 2026

Confidential — For Internal Use Only

This page is intentionally left blank.

Where to Start

If you have limited time, read the Executive Summary. It is self-contained: strategic situation, unfair advantages, the right buyers, what the offering is worth, and the 90-day priorities.

If you want the evidence behind a specific conclusion, each Executive Summary lens maps directly to a numbered section.

If you are reading this before a board meeting, investor conversation, or strategic pivot, start with the Executive Summary, then read the pricing section and the value innovation pivot paths section.

The Logic Behind This Analysis

Most competitive analyses ask one question: how do you beat your competitors? This document asks two. The first is about winning within the market you are already in. The second is harder: is there a better market to create, where the company sets the terms of competition rather than accepts them?

Both questions are applied simultaneously using two complementary frameworks. Every section, every table, and every recommendation is the product of both lenses working together.

CMU Swartz Center Competitive Framework Addresses the first question. Identifies the factors buyers use to choose and assesses where the company stands on each one relative to rivals. Produces the competitive positioning, ideal client profile, and unfair advantage analysis.	**Blue Ocean Strategy** *Kim and Mauborgne* Addresses the second question. Identifies where competition is irrelevant: factors no competitor offers, buyers no one is targeting, delivery models the market has never seen. Introduces value innovation: raising buyer value on the dimensions that matter while eliminating cost on the dimensions that do not.

Concepts and Terms Used in This Document

Red ocean / Blue ocean	A red ocean is a market where competitors fight for the same customers on the same dimensions. A blue ocean is uncontested space: a different buyer, problem framing, or delivery model that no competitor offers. Blue oceans are more often found inside existing markets than created from scratch.
Strategy canvas	A map of how each competitor performs across the market's competitive factors. When one player diverges from the rest on a factor, that is a signal. Appears in Section 1 and the Executive Summary.
Ocean? column	Every comparison table has an Ocean? column: ○ **Blue** uncontested. This company alone offers it, or no competitor does. – **Red** contested. One or more competitors offer it too.
ERRC Grid *Eliminate, Reduce, Raise, Create*	Forces four questions: what to eliminate (costs money without creating value), reduce, raise, and create. Appears in Section 3 and drives the value innovation analysis.
ICP *Ideal Client Profile*	The specific buyer most likely to convert with the least friction. Targeting outside the ICP without a deliberate reason wastes pipeline time. Defined in detail in Section 7.
Non-customers	Buyers not purchasing from anyone in this market. Understanding why they stay out is often more valuable than understanding why existing customers buy. Three tiers, each with a different reason. Appear in Sections 1 and 7.
Pivot paths	Structural changes to the business model that would move the company toward uncontested market space: a different buyer, delivery format, or category. Sequenced by timing: now, 12 to 24 months, and 24 to 36 months.

Executive Summary: What This Means for [redacted]

This analysis applies two complementary lenses simultaneously: the Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) Swartz Center competitive framework — which asks how a company wins within an existing market — and Blue Ocean Strategy (Kim & Mauborgne) — which asks whether competing in that market at all is the right choice. Together they reveal something important: [redacted] has the ingredients of a blue ocean inside a red ocean strategy. The goal of this summary is to be specific about which is which, and what to do about it.

1 — What the Market Looks Like (and Where [redacted] Sits)

IN THEIR OWN WORDS

"[redacted] builds a permanent, AI-enabled sales qualification system with founders — in their live deals, not practice scenarios. The system stays. The dependency doesn't."

The B2B founder sales coaching market is a red ocean. Multiple players compete on broadly overlapping dimensions: live coaching, proprietary frameworks, deliverables, and price. The CMU competitive analysis identifies five direct competitors and three indirect, all targeting the same narrow ideal client profile (ICP) — technical founders at seed to Series A struggling to build a repeatable sales process.

The Strategy Canvas below is the Blue Ocean diagnostic. It maps how each player performs across the market's competitive factors. When curves look similar, you're in a red ocean. [redacted]'s curve breaks from the pack on two factors — proprietary qualification framework and custom AI tools — and those divergences are where the blue ocean signal begins.

Competitive factor	[redacted]	QC Growth	Hgr Levels	Ramp d	Fndg Sales	Frac VP	Ocean?
Live coaching on real, active deals	High	High	Low	High	Low	Mid	–
Proprietary qualification framework (4-Gate)	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	○
Custom AI tools for specific buyer context	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	○
Client permanently owns all deliverables	High	Low	Mid	Mid	High	Low	–
Fixed-scope anti-dependency model	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	○
Team-transferable process (not founder-	High	Low	Low	Mid	Low	Mid	–

Competitive factor	[redacted]	QC Growth	Hgr Levels	Ramp d	Fndg Sales	Frac VP	Ocean?
only)							
venture capital (VC)/accelerator network social proof	Mid	Mid	Low	High	High	Mid	–
Published pricing transparency	Low	High	High	Low	High	Low	–
Cohort / institutional delivery model	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	○

○ Blue = genuinely uncontested factor ([redacted] alone, or no one). – Red = competing on the same dimension as one or more rivals.

CMU + Blue Ocean read: [redacted] *wins the red ocean on breadth — it scores High on more factors than any single competitor. But three factors are genuinely blue: the 4-Gate qualification framework, the custom AI prompt library, and the institutional cohort channel. These are not incremental improvements on what others offer. They are factors the industry has never offered. The strategic imperative is to treat these three as the core of the business, not as feature additions.*

2 — Unfair Advantage: What's Genuinely Defensible

Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) framework identifies unfair advantage as the set of asymmetries a competitor cannot easily replicate. Blue Ocean Strategy calls this the Create quadrant of the ERRC Grid — factors a company builds that the industry has never offered. The two frameworks agree on what's defensible for [redacted] and what isn't.

Advantage	Why it's real (CMU lens)	Why it's durable (Blue Ocean lens)
4-Gate qualification framework	Proprietary methodology built over 20 years. Not a generic framework (Budget-Authority-Need-Timeline [BANT], Situation-Problem-Implication-Need-Payoff [SPIN]) applied to new clients — it is a structured binary decision engine unique to [redacted].	In Eliminate-Reduce-Raise-Create (ERRC) terms, this is a Created factor. No competitor has a qualification signal system. It would take a rival 12–18 months to develop and validate a comparable methodology, by which time [redacted]'s case study library makes it the category reference.
Custom AI prompt library, buyer-calibrated	Delivered as client-owned artifacts, not generic tools. Prompts are built around the client's specific buyer role (chief information security officer (CISO), chief financial officer (CFO), vice president (VP) of Operations) and deal context. Cannot be replicated by a competitor without the same coaching data.	Another Created factor. AI tools exist in the market; buyer-specific prompt libraries built on live deal data do not. This is the seed of a product business (licensed sales OS) if [redacted] chooses Pivot 2 in Section 10.

Advantage	Why it's real (CMU lens)	Why it's durable (Blue Ocean lens)
Anti-dependency model	Structural, not promotional. The 6-week fixed-scope model with permanent client ownership is a design decision, not a positioning choice. It cannot be copied by a retainer-model competitor without destroying their revenue model.	A third Created factor. Every coaching and fractional vice president (VP) competitor depends on continuation fees for revenue. [redacted]'s model structurally removes the conflict of interest. This is a positioning statement, not just a feature.
DC metro geography	First-mover advantage in the DC-area accelerator ecosystem (Mach37, the Technology Development Corporation of Maryland (TEDCO), the Northern Virginia Technology Council (NVTC)). Geography is an underappreciated structural edge for a boutique — local relationships compound in ways remote-first competitors cannot replicate.	A Six Paths opportunity: [redacted] can look across alternative industries (defense tech, govtech, cyber) that are over-represented in DC and under-served by Bay Area-centric coaching providers. This is a blue ocean within a specific geography.

3 — Who to Reach: Customers and Non-Customers

The CMU framework identifies the ICP — the buyer most likely to convert with the least friction. Blue Ocean Strategy adds a second question the CMU framework doesn't ask: who isn't buying from anyone in this market, and why? Both questions are answered here in a single view.

	Buyer	Why they matter	Red or Blue?	How to reach them
A	**Series A technical founder, $200K–1.5M ARR, first account executive (AE) underperforming**	Acute pain, clear budget, live trigger event (investor pressure + failing account executive (AE)). The CMU buyer profile is most likely to search, respond to inbound content, and convert quickly. This is the core red ocean ICP.	**RED — all direct competitors target this buyer**	Investor referrals, LinkedIn trigger-event content, DC-area accelerator networks
B	**Accelerator operator running cohorts of 5–10 technical founders**	One institutional relationship opens 5–10 companies per cohort, twice per year. No direct competitor currently serves this buyer at the programme level. Institutional budget, not a personal credit card.	**BLUE — no competitor serves this buyer**	Direct outreach to Mach37, the Technology Development Corporation of Maryland (TEDCO), the Northern Virginia Technology Council (NVTC), university tech transfer offices; DC geography is a structural advantage
C	**private equity (PE)-backed portfolio**	Higher average contract value (ACV), sophisticated return on investment (ROI) buyer.	**PARTIAL BLUE — competitors**	private equity (PE) firm operating partners and value-creation team leads

	Buyer	Why they matter	Red or Blue?	How to reach them
	company, $1M–5M ARR, founder-led sales not scaling	Portfolio network effect: one success generates warm introductions to every other company in the fund. CMU rates this as highest-value individual client opportunity.	**don't serve private equity (PE) channel**	
D	**Founder who hired an AE and still has the sales problem (Tier 2 non-customer)**	Blue Ocean non-customer. This buyer consciously chose not to use coaching — they outsourced the problem. They are not buying from anyone. The entry point is when the AE underperforms: the trigger event is the same, but the buying identity is different.	**BLUE — no competitor is positioned for this moment**	LinkedIn content: "Your AE is struggling because the process lives in your head." Direct outreach via the AE's hiring channel (recruiters, VCs who referred the hire)

4 — How to Win: Differentiation vs. Value Innovation

The CMU framework asks how [redacted] differentiates within the existing market. Blue Ocean Strategy asks whether the differentiation is enough — or whether the business should create new market space entirely. The answer is both, sequenced deliberately.

[redacted]'s current differentiation angle is real and defensible: it is the only option that structurally ends. Every competitor either continues indefinitely (fractional vice presidents (VPs), QC Growth retainer) or delivers knowledge without building transferable skill (Rampd's playbook, Founding Sales' book). This is a CMU-grounded positioning statement. It wins in the red ocean today.

But the Blue Ocean read adds a harder question: is competing as the best coaching option in an existing category the ceiling? The ERRC analysis in Section 10 suggests not. [redacted]'s three Created factors — the 4-Gate framework, buyer-calibrated AI tools, and the anti-dependency model — are value innovations. They simultaneously raise value for the buyer and reduce cost by eliminating what doesn't add value (generic frameworks, role-play practice, open-ended engagement duration). That combination is the definition of a blue ocean move.

What the market offers	What [redacted] offers today	What [redacted] could offer (value innovation)
Ongoing coaching you can't stop paying for	**A 6-week system you own permanently**	*A licensed sales OS any B2B team can deploy without a coach*
Generic frameworks applied to your deals	**A qualification engine built for your specific**	*An AI-powered 4-Gate tool that updates as your buyer landscape changes*

	buyers	
A coach's knowledge in their head	**Digital tools and playbooks that stay with your team**	*A scalable cohort programme that runs across an entire accelerator or private equity (PE) portfolio*
Dependency on the person you hired	**Independence from anyone — including** [redacted]	*A commercial translation capability for any technical organization, not just founder-led startups*
Advice about what you should do	**Diagnosis of what you did on your last call**	*A replicable buyer-qualification system your AE runs without you on the call*

5 — What It's Worth: Pricing Power and Value Innovation Uplift

The analyses above have a direct commercial implication: [redacted] is underpriced relative to what it delivers. This lens states what the market evidence supports today, and what each value innovation pivot unlocks in pricing power.

5a — Competitor Pricing vs. Recommended

[redacted]'s current engagement pricing ([redacted] per founder) sits below what the outcome evidence supports. The table maps competitor pricing against [redacted]'s current range and the recommended range given the differentiation documented in Sections 3–8.

Provider	Model	Competitor pricing	today	Recommended
QC Growth	Monthly retainer	$7,500–$25,000/month	[redacted] fixed	[redacted] individual
Rampd	Project-based (est.)	~$10K–$25K / 12 weeks	[redacted] fixed	[redacted] / 6 weeks
Higher Levels	Tiered course	$2,995–$5,995	[redacted] fixed	Not comparable (different ICP)
Fractional VP firms	Monthly retainer	$4K–$15K/ month	[redacted] fixed	Not comparable (ongoing vs. fixed)
[redacted] — **recommended**	Fixed 6-week	—	[redacted]	[redacted] **individual $40K-$80K institutional cohort**

Why [redacted] is underpriced today: *QC Growth charges $7,500–$25,000 per month with no fixed endpoint and no permanent intellectual property (IP) transfer. [redacted] delivers a comparable or superior outcome in 6 weeks with permanent client ownership. At [redacted], [redacted] prices a systems engagement as a single coaching*

session. The recommended floor for individual engagements is [redacted]—anchored to Rampd's price point and justified by the system-ownership outcome no competitor delivers.

5b — Value Innovation Pricing Uplift

Each value innovation pivot in Section 8.4 unlocks a materially different price ceiling. The table shows the current average contract value (ACV), the post-innovation ACV, and the revenue multiple each pivot creates.

Pivot	Current ACV	Post-innovation ACV	Revenue multiple	What changes	Timing
Pivot 1— Institutional channel (accelerators + private equity)	[redacted] per founder	[redacted] **per cohort**	**3–5× per sale**	Buyer shifts to operator. One sale serves 5–10 companies. No product change.	**Now**
Pivot 2— Licensed sales operating system (SaaS)	[redacted] one-time	[redacted] **year per company**	**Recurring vs. one-time**	Model shifts from project to annual license. 100 licenses = [redacted] with no added headcount.	12–24 months
Pivot 3— Commercial translation service	[redacted] (founder-only)	[redacted] **per engagement**	**1.5–2× (broader ICP)**	Buyer expands to any technical organization. Scope expands to pitch materials, case studies, investor narrative.	24–36 months

The single highest-return action in this document: *Pivot 1 requires no product change—only a channel shift. Closing one accelerator operator partnership moves [redacted] from [redacted] per engagement to [redacted] per cohort of 5–10 companies. That is a 3–5× revenue increase per sale with the same delivery capability. It is executable now.*

6 — Priority Actions (90-Day Horizon)

Six actions in priority order. Each is grounded in both frameworks: the Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) rationale explains why it wins in the existing market; the Blue Ocean rationale explains how it moves [redacted] toward uncontested space.

#	Action	CMU rationale	Blue Ocean rationale	Success signal	Ocean
1	**Close one accelerator operator partnership in DC metro**	Highest-leverage growth channel: one deal opens 5–10 companies per cohort. DC geography is a structural advantage over all remote-first competitors. ~400 accelerator cohort companies per year in DC alone, none currently served by a structured sales coaching programme.	This is Pivot 1 from the Blue Ocean analysis: the institutional channel. It immediately moves [redacted] out of direct comparison with Rampd and QC Growth. The buyer is different, the pricing is different ([redacted] per cohort), and no competitor serves this buyer.	*Signed agreement for the next cohort cycle*	○
2	**Publish two named case studies with specific revenue outcomes**	Biggest credibility gap vs. Rampd (300+ Y Combinator (YC) alumni) and QC Growth (named Grafbase case study). One attributed case study does more for conversion than any other marketing spend.	Case studies accelerate the defensive move: once [redacted] has documented outcomes that competitors can't replicate (because they didn't run the 4-Gate System), the CMU advantage becomes a Blue Ocean moat. Outcomes compound.	*Two live case studies on [redacted].com with founder name, outcome metric, timeline*	-
3	**Launch LinkedIn content targeting the six trigger events in Section 8**	Each trigger event (board forecast, first AE underperforming, big deal gone quiet) is a high-intent moment. Rampd's Substack proves the content model works for referral-driven boutiques.	Trigger-event content reaches Tier 2 non-customers — founders who hired an AE and still have the problem. This is the Blue Ocean audience that no competitor is currently messaging to directly.	*20 posts; measurable inbound attributable to content within 60 days*	○
4	**Publish a pricing page with three defined tiers**	[redacted] is the only direct competitor without published pricing. Opacity creates friction with self-directed founders and slows institutional buyer close cycles.	Pricing transparency is also an ERRC Eliminate action: remove the discovery-call gatekeeping that filters out high-intent buyers who want to self-qualify. Speeds pipeline without adding sales headcount.	*Pricing page live; reduction in time-to-proposal on inbound*	-
5	**Approach three PE firm operating partners with portfolio companies at $1M–5M ARR**	Highest-ACV individual client opportunity. Portfolio network effect: one success generates warm introductions across the fund.	This is the partial blue ocean buyer (Buyer C above). private equity (PE) operating partners are not being targeted by any direct competitor. Positioning here shifts [redacted]'s competitive reference from "better than Rampd" to "unique in this channel."	*Three operating partner conversations; one portfolio company engaged*	○

The integrated verdict: [redacted] *has the ingredients of a blue ocean company inside a red ocean go-to-market. The 4-Gate System, buyer-calibrated AI tools, and anti-dependency model are genuinely novel — Created factors the industry has never offered. The strategic priority for the next 90 days is to stop investing in the red ocean factors (coaching quality, framework breadth, social proof accumulation) and start institutionalising the blue ocean factors into defensible intellectual property (IP) and institutional channels before competitors recognize what* [redacted] *has built. Actions 1, 3, and 5 above move* [redacted] *toward uncontested space. Actions 2 and 4 consolidate the red ocean position while that shift happens.*

DETAILED ANALYSIS

Sections 1–8: the full research, data, and competitive evidence behind the executive summary

Contents

1 The Problem & How Customers Solve It Today
Defines the problem through both a CMU competitive lens and a Blue Ocean non-customer lens. Maps the full solution landscape — direct competitors, indirect alternatives, three tiers of non-buyers, and the default of doing nothing — showing where [redacted] faces competition and where it faces open water.

2 Market Size & Addressable Opportunity
Bottom-up total addressable market (TAM) / serviceable addressable market (SAM) / serviceable obtainable market (SOM) sizing for [redacted]'s current model, alongside a Blue Ocean market expansion view showing how each value innovation pivot redefines the addressable market and increases per-sale revenue 3–5×.

3 Competitive Factors
CMU's Price / Service / Quality framework identifies where [redacted] competes today. The ERRC Grid (Eliminate–Reduce–Raise–Create) maps what to do about each factor to simultaneously pursue differentiation and lower cost — the definition of value innovation.

4 Unfair Advantage
Five advantages that are both genuinely hard to replicate (CMU replication barriers) and genuinely novel in the market (Blue Ocean Create quadrant). Includes strategic implications for how each advantage should be protected, documented, and deployed.

5 Competitive Company Analysis
Full profiles of six competitors — four direct, two indirect. Each profile includes location, pricing, trajectory, strengths, and weaknesses. Each closes with a Blue Ocean lens callout: what that competitor's model eliminates and creates, and what it means for [redacted]'s counter-positioning.

6 Product / Service Feature Matrix
Sixteen-feature comparison across all direct competitors, with a Blue Ocean annotation column identifying which features are genuinely uncontested (blue) versus contested on the same dimension as rivals (red). The six blue features are [redacted]'s primary differentiation surface.

7 Ideal Client Profile
Company snapshot, buyer spectrum (CMU ICP + Blue Ocean non-customer tiers in a single view), trigger events tagged by buyer type and ocean status, and a six-point qualification checklist. Includes the Tier 2 non-customer — the founder who hired an AE

and still has the problem — whom no competitor currently targets.

8 **Competitive Advantage & Value Innovation**

Translates features into benefits (CMU) and benefits into value innovation trajectory (Blue Ocean). Covers competitive advantage statements for three buyer types, counter-positioning against each direct competitor with ocean-status labelling, and three value innovation pivot paths with explicit timing and execution criteria.

9 **Pricing Strategy and Value Innovation Uplift**

Competitor pricing vs. the subject company's current and recommended ranges. Per-pivot average contract value (ACV) uplift with revenue multiples. Implementation cost and time estimates for each innovation action, sequenced by effort and return on investment (ROI).